Over the course of this study, some participants were reincarcerated after initial re-entry and later re-released into the community for a second (*n* = 220) or third (*n* = 13) time. For the model presented in the manuscript, we treated these subsequent re-entry sequences as independent from initial re-entry sequences as risk information was updated upon re-release and a multiple failure time model was not available for the statistics package we used. In this document, we present the results of an alternative model where we used only the first re-entry sequences of each participant (excluding sequences following reincarceration). Our motivation for testing this alternative model was to assess the impact of violating the assumption that each sequence was independent in these cases where individuals had multiple sequences. The class membership, longitudinal, and survival submodels are identical to those presented in the manuscript.

Results were not substantially impacted by excluding subsequent re-entry sequences. Model selection criteria still supported a 4-group solution, and the patterns of results were similar those presented in the manuscript.

# **Table 1.**

*DRAOR Acute Model Selection Criteria Derived From Calibration Sample*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | BIC | Max LL | CI Test Statistic | Relative Entropy | Mean Posterior Probability within Class (Percentage) | | | | | |
|  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| DRAOR Acute |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 classes | 160146.54 | -79993.88 | 2.52 | 0.50 | 0.83 (53.46) | 0.87 (46.54) |  |  |  |  |
| 3 classes | 159923.48 | -79859.67 | 39.40\* | 0.72 | 0.92 (74.34) | 0.73 (15.04) | 0.65 (10.62) |  |  |  |
| 4 classes | 159876.31 | -79813.41 | 5.10 | 0.63 | 0.81 (50.86) | 0.75 (36.75) | 0.72 (8.22) | 0.81 (4.16) |  |  |
| 5 classes | 159822.92 | -79764.03 | 5.69 | 0.68 | 0.86 (54.87) | 0.69 (26.91) | 0.76 (9.01) | 0.65 (5.73) | 0.82 (3.49) |  |

*Note*. Calibration sample comprised 50173 observations of *N* = 1921 randomly selected participants. There were 673 recidivism events recorded in this sample.

DRAOR Acute= Dynamic Risk Assessment for Offender Re-entry (Serin, 2007), Acute subscale.

BIC indicates Bayesian information criterion. Max LL indicates maximum log-likelihood. CI test statistic indicates conditional independence test statistic.

\*indicates significant conditional independence test statistic

**Fig. 1**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| Predicted DRAOR Acute Trajectories for 60% Calibration Sample | Predicted DRAOR Acute Trajectories for 40% Test Sample |

Cross sample comparisons of identified trajectories. Calibration sample comprised of 50173 observations of *N* = 1921 randomly selected participants, with 673 recidivism events. Calibration sample comprised of 38420 observations of *N* = 1508 remaining participants, with 568 recidivism events.

**Fig. 2**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***A*** | ***B*** |
| ***C*** | ***D*** |
| *Mean group predicted trajectories of DRAOR Acute plotted with (****A****) and without (****B****) Monte Carlo confidence intervals, mean group survival curves (****C****) and group-specific baseline hazard rates (****D****).*  Predicted values from lcmm’s Jointlcmm function (see Proust-Lima et al., 2017, p. 22).  DRAOR Acute= Dynamic Risk Assessment for Offender Re-entry (Serin, 2007), Acute subscale. Scores range from 0 to 14 with higher scores indicating higher risk. | |

**Fig. 3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **A** | **B** |
| **C** | **D** |

Heavily weighted line represents predicted mean trajectories of DRAOR Acute for (**A)** *Moderate Decreasing*, (**B**) *Low Decreasing*, (**C**) *Rapid Decreasing*, and (**D**) *Increasing*. Unweighted lines represent 50 randomly selected individual sample trajectories within each group, jittered to reduce overlap.

Jittering increases readability, facilitating illustration of within-group noise, but creates appearance of oscillation, where scores may actually be constant across measurement occasions.

DRAOR Acute= Dynamic Risk Assessment for Offender Re-entry (Serin, 2007), Acute subscale. Scores range from 0 to 14 with higher scores indicating higher risk.

Descriptive Qualities of Four DRAOR Acute Trajectories

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Moderate Decreasing | | Low Decreasing | Rapid Decreasing | Increasing |  |
|  | N (%) | | | | |  |
| Number of Participants | | 1231 (35.90) | 1756 (51.21) | 337 (9.83) | 105 (3.06) |  |
|  | | Mean (SD) | | | | Kruskal-Wallis χ2 |
| Age | | 31.56 (9.48) | 38.52 (12.54) | 30.80 (9.17) | 30.55 (9.14) | 306.30\*\*\* |
| Number of Weeks Assessed | | 17.94 (11.36) | 35.66 (15.93) | 7.10 (6.42) | 1426 (6.54) | 1311.70\*\*\* |
| RoC\*RoI | | 0.64 (0.17) | 0.38 (0.23) | 0.62 (0.21) | 0.63 (0.18) | 964.54\*\*\* |
| Baseline Stable | | 7.08 (2.38) | 5.52 (2.37) | 7.64 (2.45) | 6.74 (2.53) | 383.75\*\*\* |
| Baseline Acute | | 6.63 (2.23) | 5.07 (2.14) | 7.84 (2.42) | 4.90 (2.26) | 533.91\*\*\* |
| Baseline Protect | | 5.48 (2.30) | 6.74 (2.24) | 4.77 (2.45) | 5.97 (2.24) | 305.34\*\*\* |
| Change Stable | | -0.50 (2.37) | -1.37 (2.39) | -0.79 (2.14) | 0.85 (2.39) | 166.55\*\*\* |
| Change Acute | | -0.98 (2.33) | -1.69 (2.37) | -2.26 (2.49) | 3.00 (1.92) | 328.22\*\*\* |
| Change Protect | | 0.64 (2.28) | 1.54 (2.30) | 0.88 (2.22) | -0.61 (2.24) | 189.46\*\*\* |
| Mean Net Change Stable | | 0.28 (5.54) | 0.12 (0.18) | 0.24 (0.56) | 0.35 (0.45) | 60.32\*\*\* |
| Mean Net Change Acute | | 0.43 (5.17) | 0.24 (0.21) | 0.44 (0.56) | 0.74 (0.63) | 255.18\*\*\* |
| Mean Net Change Protect | | 0.28 (0.61) | 0.12 (0.16) | 0.27 (0.50) | 0.33 (0.43) | 38.63\*\*\* |
|  | | N (%) | | | | Pearson’s χ2 |
| Any Recidivism | | 905 (73.52) | 126 (7.18) | 290 (86.05) | 90 (85.71) | 1736.2\*\*\* |
| Technical Violations | | 646 (52.48) | 80 (4.56) | 215 (63.80) | 72 (68.57) | 1104.6\*\*\* |
| Nonviolent Criminal Recidivism | | 243 (19.74) | 25 (1.42) | 87 (25.82) | 23 (21.90) | 348.2\*\*\* |
| Violent Recidivism | | 165 (13.40) | 21 (1.20) | 56 (16.62) | 11 (10.48) | 207.18\*\*\* |

*Note. N* = 3429 participants, assigned to groups based on posterior probabilities.

RoC\*RoI = Risk of Reconviction\* Risk of Reimprisonment (Bakker et al., 1999)

DRAOR = Dynamic Risk Assessment for Offender Re-entry (Serin, 2007); Stable = DRAOR Stable subscale (0-12 points possible); Acute = DRAOR Acute subscale (0-14 points possible); Protect = DRAOR Protect subscale (0-12 points possible)

Baseline refers to the assessment closest to time of return from incarceration.

\*\*\* *p*-value < 0.001

**Table 3.**

Predictive discrimination and calibration of DRAOR Acute using selected joint latent class model and equivalent model without latent class structure.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Prediction Window | | | | | |
|  | 4 weeks through 12 weeks | | 12 weeks through 20 weeks | | 24 weeks through 32 weeks | |
| Model | AUC\*100 | Brier Score\*100 | AUC \*100 | Brier Score\*100 | AUC \*100 | Brier Score\*100 |
| Four class JLCM | 73.26 | 10.71 | 67.05 | 13.35 | 73.64 | 9.13 |
| Equivalent SREM | 73.95 | 10.85 | 74.64 | 11.14 | 76.45 | 9.70 |